

3710 Mid-Term, Spring 2011

Reflection Time

It is now time to determine if I am satisfied with how the test functioned in the context of my class goals.

What was the goal of the exam?

My goal was to use the test *to distinguish between those who know the material best and those who do not know it as well*. The “material” was the knowledge, understandings and applications related to Chapters 1-8.

Thus, the test needs to be difficult (but not unreasonably difficult).

It also needs to fair.

The steps I use for test reflection:

- First, I determine internal reliability.
- Second, I reflect on validity (Table of Specifications, face validity)
- Third, I perform item analysis.
- Fourth, I determine final test scores for “grade book”.

Item Analysis: Let's Begin -

32 who people took the test are used for analysis.

Below are the number right by item number:

01. ___ 25 ___

02. ___ 25 ___

03. ___ 25 ___

04. ___ 18 ___

05. ___ 30 ___

06. ___ 27 ___

07. ___ 24 ___

08. ___ 29 ___

09. ___ 25 ___

10. ___ 24 ___

11. ___ 26 ___

12. ___ 18 ___

13. ___ 23 ___

14. ___ 25 ___

15. ___ 21 ___

16. ___ 23 ___

17. ___ 9 ___

18. ___ 19 ___

19. ___ 19 ___

20. ___ 18 ___

21. ___ 26 ___

22. ___ 16 ___

23. ___ 27 ___

24. ___ 9 ___

25. ___ 27 ___

26. ___ 29 ___

27. ___ 8 ___

28. ___ 7 ___

29. ___ 13 ___

30. ___ 19 ___

31. ___ 16 ___

32. ___ 16 ___

33. ___ 13 ___

34. ___ 24 ___

35. ___ 32 ___

36. ___ 25 ___

37. ___ 27 ___

38. ___ 17 ___

39. ___ 26 ___

40. ___ 24 ___

41. ___ 20 ___

42. ___ 31 ___

43. ___ 17 ___

44. ___ 9 ___

45. ___ 28 ___

46. ___ 28 ___

47. ___ 21 ___

48. ___ 16 ___

49. ___ 25 ___

50. ___ 17 ___

Item Difficulty Index: I will set acceptable item difficulty (aka “p-value”) at around 75%; that is, if 75 percent of the class gets the answer correct, then the item is not too difficult. For this test, this standard translates into 24 or more people getting the item right. Of the 50 test items, using this criterion, 25 were not too difficult and I eliminate them from further analysis. These 25 are fair. However, now I will look at the most difficult items (the remaining 25) to analyze further.

Below are the 25 items that might be too difficult.

13. ___ 23 ___	43. ___ 17 ___	28. ___ 7 ___
15. ___ 21 ___	47. ___ 21 ___	30. ___ 19 ___
17. ___ 9 ___	04. ___ 18 ___	32. ___ 16 ___
19. ___ 19 ___	12. ___ 18 ___	38. ___ 17 ___
27. ___ 8 ___	16. ___ 23 ___	44. ___ 9 ___
29. ___ 13 ___	18. ___ 19 ___	48. ___ 16 ___
31. ___ 16 ___	20. ___ 18 ___	50. ___ 17 ___
33. ___ 13 ___	22. ___ 16 ___	
41. ___ 20 ___	24. ___ 9 ___	

I will analyze them further using a process called item discrimination or the item discrimination index.

Item Discrimination Index: The theory: a difficult item is good . . . as long as it differentiates between those who know and those who do not. So, let’s examine the 25 items to see if they “discriminate” properly.

One does this by comparing the best tests to the worst tests. I decide to do this by comparing the top quarter to the bottom quarter. I will take the top 25% (8 tests), compare them to the bottom 25% (8 tests), and compute the discrimination index (aka D). The concept is to compare the percentage correct in the top group to percentage correct in bottom group. I decide to set my minimum D value at +.20. See next table.

TABLE

Item Number followed by total correct answers	# Right in High Grp	# Right in Low Grp	% in High	% in Low	“D Value” High%-Low%
13. ___23___	7	3	.87	.37	+.50
15. ___21___	7	4	.87	.50	+.37
17. ___9___	4	2	.50	.25	+.25
19. ___19___	7	3	.87	.37	+.50
27. ___8___	7	2	.87	.25	+.62
29. ___13___	6	0	.75	.00	+.75
31. ___16___	8	4	1.00	.50	+.50
33. ___13___	5	2	.62	.25	+.37
41. ___20___	7	3	.87	.37	+.50
43. ___17___	6	6	.75	.75	.00
47. ___21___	8	4	1.00	.50	+.50
04. ___18___	5	4	.62	.50	+.12
12. ___18___	6	3	.75	.37	+.38
16. ___23___	8	4	1.00	.50	+.50
18. ___19___	6	4	.75	.50	+.25
20. ___18___	6	3	.75	.37	+.38
22. ___16___	6	4	.75	.50	+.25
24. ___9___	3	3	.37	.37	.00
28. ___7___	3	1	.37	.12	+.25
30. ___19___	8	3	1.00	.37	+.63
32. ___16___	5	5	.62	.62	.00
38. ___17___	4	3	.50	.37	+.13
44. ___9___	4	0	.50	.00	+.50
48. ___16___	5	1	.62	.12	+.50
50. ___17___	6	2	.75	.25	+.50

My analysis shows that I have five (5) items that are not functioning as I intended. They are poor test items. They could have been poorly written, asked for information students were unable to attain (from class discussion, reading, etc.), or the result of misteaching on my part.

I can use this analysis to improve my future teaching and my future item writing.

But, what should I do about these findings vis-à-vis the students who took this exam? Clearly they are unfair to the current class.

I decided to award each student 2.5 points (.50 point added back in for each poor item) to their otherwise final point total since I “own” some amount of overall “error” in students’ scores.

I decide to do this for all students, whether the student missed these five items or not.